

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,004	08/26/2003	Martin Lund	14223US02	3309	
	7590 S HELD & MALLOY, LT	EXAM	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MADISON STREET			PATEL, CHIRAG R		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL	60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
emenos, n	00001		2141	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/648,004	LUND, MARTIN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHIRAG R. PATEL	2141		

	CHIRAG R. PATEL	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26/a) and the appropriate	o outonoion foo
Extensions of uniterinary be duranted united 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth (in CFR) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t     (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	ΓE below);	
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	OL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, i	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	hefore or on the date of filing a No	ntice of Anneal will not	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
see continuation page.			
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ol>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:			
/Jason D Cardone/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Tzeng discloses per Col 3 lines 15-28. "The packet switched network includes integrated (i.e., single chip) multiport switches 12 that enable communication of data packets between network stations 14. Each network station 14. for example a client workstation, is typically configured for sending and receiving data packets at 10 Mbps or 100 Mbps according to IEEE 802.3 protocol. Each of the integrated multiport switches 12 are interronnected by glapath Ethemet links 16, enabling transfer of data packets between subnetworks (or suberts) 18a, 18b, and 18c. Hence, each subnetwork includes a switch 12, and an associated group of network stations 14." The passage above states the interconnectivity between the network stations, even between the subnets via the retement links.